United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America)	
v.)	Case No. 16-30547
Martez Lequan Bailey Defendant)	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

2:16-cr-20820-AC-EAS Doc # 13 Filed 12/15/16 Pg 2 of 3 Pg ID 26

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
\square (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

2:16-cr-20820-AC-EAS Doc # 13 Filed 12/15/16 Pg 3 of 3

2.10 01 20020 7 60 27 60 15	00 // 10 1 lica 12/10/10 1 g 0 0/ 0 1 g 10 2/
AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after s	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordere	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforceme	ent
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or ur	verified
\square Prior violations of probation, parole, or	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANAT	TION:
nto the snow with no pants or shoes, while removed. He admitted to having three guns, and bragg Defendant has a history of failing to appear in councumulatively, this Court finds not credible, and whis case and that it is unlikly that he will be successive.	tempted to arrest Defendant, who is a previously convicted felon, he fleeting two guns from the resdence and throwing them into the snow as seed that if he had had shoes, "You never would have caught me." art, and gives excuses for each non-appearance which, when considered hich leads this Court to believe that it is unlikely that he will appear in essfully supervised. Moreover, Pretrial Services recommends detentioned on the record at the detention hearing, which are incorprated by this number of the detailed.
Part IV - 1	Directions Regarding Detention
for confinement in a corrections facility separate, being held in custody pending appeal. The defer with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or dant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation to United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an attorney for the purpose of attor
Date: 12/15/2016	s/Anthony P. Patti
	•

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: